arXiv:1612.00652v1 [math.AG] 2 Dec 2016

# GROMOV–WITTEN THEORY OF $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$

ZIJUN ZHOU AND ZHENGYU ZONG

Abstract. We compute the relative orbifold Gromov–Witten invariants of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with respect to vertical fibers. Via a vanishing property of the Hurwitz–Hodge bundle, 2-point rubber invariants are calculated explicitly using Pixton's formula for the double ramification cycle, and the orbifold quantum Riemann–Roch. As a result parallel to its crepant resolution counterpart for $\mathcal{A}_n$, the GW/DT/Hilb/Sym correspondence is established for $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$. The computation also implies the crepant resolution conjecture for relative orbifold Gromov–Witten theory of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

## Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Overview 2
1.2. Summary of results 2
1.3. Acknowledgements 4
2. Geometry 5
2.1. Geometry of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$ 5
2.2. Moduli space of stable maps 5
2.3. Torus action 6
2.4. Obstruction bundle 6
3. Relative Gromov–Witten theory of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$ 7
3.1. Relative GW invariants 7
3.2. The fundamental case: $r = 3$ 8
4. Rubber invariants and Crepant Resolution Conjecture 10
4.1. Rubber invariants 11
4.2. Double ramification cycle and Pixton's formula 12
4.3. Vanishing property of the Hurwitz–Hodge classes 14
4.4. Computation of rubber invariants and Crepant Resolution Conjecture 15
5. Orbifold quantum cohomology of symmetric products 23
5.1. Sym($[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$) 24
5.2. Sym($\mathcal{A}_n$) 26
6. GW/DT/Hilb/Sym correspondence 27
6.1. Relative DT theory and GW/DT correspondence of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$ 27
6.2. Equivalences of theories 29

6.3. Generation conjecture 29
References 30

## 1. Introduction

1.1. **Overview.** Upon its emergence, GW/DT correspondence has aroused plenty of interests in mathematical physics. The story begins with the technique of topological vertex invented in [4] to compute Gromov–Witten invariants for toric Calabi–Yau 3-folds, where generating functions for GW invariants are expressed as summation over partitions. As observed by [17, 13, 14], this combinatorial feature can be interpreted in terms of another enumerative theory — the Donaldson–Thomas theory. Lots of works have been done after this discovery, including the GW/DT correspondence for local curves [7, 16] and its generalization to $\mathcal{A}_n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ [12, 15]. Here $\mathcal{A}_n$ is defined as the minimal resolution of the singular quotient $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, where the cyclic group

$$\mathbb{Z}_{n+1} := \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} | \zeta^{n+1} = 1\}$$

acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the anti-diagonal manner:

$$\zeta \cdot (x, y) := (\zeta x, \zeta^{-1} y).$$

The resolution $\mathcal{A}_n \to \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ is a crepant resolution, meaning that it preserves the canonical class. On the other hand, there is an obvious resolution of the same singularity in the category of orbifolds, the stacky quotient $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$. In the spirit of the crepant resolution conjecture [19, 6], one expects a GW/DT correspondence for $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which should be closely related to that for $\mathcal{A}_n \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

1.2. **Summary of results.** Let $\mathcal{X} := [\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be our target, and $\mathcal{D} = \coprod_{i=1}^r [\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times z_i$ be a disjoint union of vertical fibers, where $z_1, \cdots, z_r$ are distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^1$. A relative stable map from an orbifold nodal curve $C$ to $\mathcal{X}$, relative to $\mathcal{D}$, is a map from $C$ to a modified target $\mathcal{X}[k]$, for some $k$. $\mathcal{X}[k]$ is defined by gluing $\mathcal{X}$ along $\mathcal{D}$ with $k$ copies of "bubbles", constructed by the projectivization of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{X}$. The map $C \to \mathcal{X}[k]$ is required to be stable, and satisfy certain transversality conditions. For the precise definition and detailed discussions on relative GW theory, we refer the readers to [1].

Consider the moduli space of such relative stable maps,

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mu}^1, \cdots, \overline{\mu}^r),$$

where $g$ is the genus of domains, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_p)$ is a tuple of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ indicating the monodromies of non-relative marked points, and $\overline{\mu}^1, \cdots, \overline{\mu}^r$ are $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions of $m$. The partition $\overline{\mu}^i$ records the contact profile of the stable map with the $i$-th divisor, where the decoration of each part remembers the monodromy of the corresponding relative marked point.

Let $T$ be the 2-dimensional torus acting on the fiber. Note that $\mathcal{X}$, and hence the moduli space, is noncompact, but admits a $T$-action with compact fixed loci. The moduli space of relative stable maps is equipped with a $T$-equivariant perfect obstruction theory. Hence by $T$-localization one can define the GW invariants, written as correlation functions

$$\langle \overline{\mu}^1, \cdots, \overline{\mu}^r \rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}.$$

Here we *do not allow contracted connected componnets*, and the circle here means the *connected* theory. One can define the generating function

$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{X})^{\circ,\sim}_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}} := \sum_{g\geq 0}\sum_{\gamma}(-1)^g z^{2g}\frac{x^\gamma}{\gamma!}\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}_{g,\gamma}.$$

Another equivalent way to think about this is to identify the moduli with that of relative stable maps to $\mathcal{Y} := B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1$, and consider the GW theory twisted by the obstruction bundle associated with the normal bundle of $\mathcal{Y}\subset\mathcal{X}$.

By the orbifold GW degeneration formula [1], the computation of $r$-point functions reduces to that of 3-point functions. If we assume the generation conjecture, one can further reduce it to the case when one of the three partitions is of the form $(1,0)^m, (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}$, or $(1,k)(1,0)^{m-1}$. In this case, one can reduce the 3-point functions to 2-point *rubber* invariants by a rigidification argument. It turns out that the obstruction bundle is only nontrivial on certain simple strata of the moduli, and an application of Pixton's formula for the double ramification cycle leads to an explicit formula for $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}_{g,\gamma}$. We are able to compare the result with Maulik [12] after a change of variables.

**Theorem 1.1** (GW Crepant resolution)**.** *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho} = (1,0)^m,\quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2},\quad \textit{or}\quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*let $\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ be their correspondents. We have*

$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}} = Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}},$$

*under the change of variables*

$$s_j = \zeta\exp\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{a=1}^{n}(\zeta^{a/2}-\zeta^{-a/2})\zeta^{ja}x_a\right),\quad 1\leq j\leq n,$$

*where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n+1}}$.*

Here $\vec{\mu}$, $\vec{\nu}$ and $\overline{\mu}$, $\overline{\nu}$ are identified via the explicit isomorphism

$$H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\cong H^*(\mathcal{A}_n),$$

$$e_0\mapsto 1,\quad e_i\mapsto\frac{\zeta^{i/2}-\zeta^{-i/2}}{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\zeta^{ij}\omega_j,\quad 1\leq i\leq n,$$

where $\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_n\in H^2(\mathcal{A}_n,\mathbb{Q})$ is the dual basis to the exceptional curves in $\mathcal{A}_n$.

As a byproduct, we observe that the moduli space of genus-0 stable maps to $\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$ shares a common open substack with the moduli of relative stable maps to $\mathcal{X}$. Moreover, the obstruction bundles coincide and vanish outside of this open substack. Thus our computation also leads to a formula for the orbifold quantum cohomology of the symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$.

**Theorem 1.2** (GW/Sym correspondence)**.** *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho} = (1,0)^m,\quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2},\quad \textit{or}\quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*we have*

$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}} = \langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])},$$

*where the right hand side is the 3-point genus-zero orbifold GW invariants of* $\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$.

In [22], the first named author proved the crepant resolution conjecture for relative DT invariants of $\mathcal{X}$, via a further DT/Hilb correspondence to the quantum cohomology of $\mathrm{Hilb}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$. Combining these results with the GW/DT correspondence for $\mathcal{A}_n \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.3** (GW/DT correspondence)**.** *Given $\overline{\mu}, \overline{\nu}, \overline{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho} = (1,0)^m, \quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}, \quad \text{or} \quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*we have*

$$(-iz)^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)+l(\rho)-m} Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}} = (-1)^m Z'_{\mathrm{DT}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}},$$

*under the change of variables*

$$Q = q_0 q_1 \cdots q_n = -e^{iz}, \quad q_j = \zeta \exp\left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (\zeta^{a/2} - \zeta^{-a/2}) \zeta^{ja} x_a\right), \quad 1 \le j \le n.$$

In conclusion, we obtain a GW/DT/Hilb/Sym correspondence on the $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$ level, which can be viewed as a crepant resolution/transformation correspondent to its parallel picture on the $\mathcal{A}_n$ level. The relationship among these theories can be summarized in the following diagram.




The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and 3, we explain in detail the definition of relative GW invariants, and how one can reduce the calculation of 3-point functions with one divisor insertion to 2-point rubber invariants. In Section 4, we prove the vanishing property of the obstruction bundle, and use Pixton's formula for the double ramification cycle to calculate the 2-point rubber invariants. Following the calculation of J. Zhou in [21], we apply the change of variables and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we discuss the orbifold quantum cohomology of symmetric products and obtain the GW/Sym correspondence. Finally, Section 6 is a summary of all existing results, where we prove the GW/DT correspondence for $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Emily Clader, Felix Janda, and Dustin Ross for their wonderful talks at Columbia University on the double ramification cycle, which brought our attention to Pixton's formula. Moreover, the authors would like to express their acknowledgements to Professor Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, for suggesting the whole project, and many useful conversations and discussions. The second author is supported by the start up grant of Tsinghua University.

## 2. Geometry

2.1. **Geometry of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$.** Fix an integer $n\geq 0$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1$ be the projective line and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ be the trivial line bundle on it. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the trivial $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-gerbe over $\mathbb{P}^1$, coming from the root construction [2, 8] of order $n+1$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. In other words, $\mathcal{Y}$ is defined by the following Cartesian diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{C}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\ \lambda\mapsto\lambda^{n+1} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} & B\mathbb{C}^*\end{array}$$

There is an orbifold line bundle $L$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ associated with the top map in the above diagram. The degree of $L$ is zero, but there is a nontrivial action by $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ on the fibers of $L$, for which the generator acts by multiplication with $\zeta:=e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n+1}}$. We will be interested in the relative GW theory of the total space

$$L\oplus L^{-1}\to\mathcal{Y},$$

which is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{X}:=[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1,$$

where the generator $\zeta\in\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ acts on $(x,y)\in\mathbb{C}^2$ by $(\zeta x,\zeta^{-1}y)$.

2.2. **Moduli space of stable maps.** Let $m$ be a positive integer. A $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-*weighted partition* of $m$

$$\overline{\mu}=\{(\mu_1,k_1),\cdots,(\mu_{l(\mu)},k_{l(\mu)})\}$$

means the following. Here $\mu:=\{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_{l(\mu)}\}$ is an ordinary partition of $m$ and each part is decorated with an element $k_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, $i=1,\cdots,l(\mu)$. Let

$$\{1,\cdots,l(\overline{\mu})\}=A'(\overline{\mu})\sqcup A''(\overline{\mu})$$

such that $k_i=0$ if and only if $i\in A'(\overline{\mu})$. Let $l'(\overline{\mu})=|A'(\overline{\mu})|$ and $l''(\overline{\mu})=|A''(\overline{\mu})|$. For any $\overline{\mu}$, we use the notation $-\overline{\mu}$ to denote $\{(\mu_1,-k_1),\cdots,(\mu_{l(\mu)},-k_{l(\mu)})\}$. Let $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_p)$ be a vector of *nontrivial elements* in $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, with $l(\gamma)=p$.

Let $z_1,\cdots,z_r$ be $r$ points on $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r$ be $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions of $m$. Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$$

to be the moduli space of relative stable maps to $(\mathcal{Y},z_1,\cdots,z_r)$ with ramification profiles $\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r$. A general point $[f]\in\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$ may not map the domain curve to $\mathcal{Y}$ but to $\mathcal{Y}$ attached with $r$ chains of copies of $\mathcal{Y}$ at $z_1,\cdots,z_r$.

There are $p$ non-relative marked points $x_1,\cdots,x_p$ on the domain curve with monodromies $\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_p\in\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$. For each $\overline{\mu}^i=\{(\mu^i_1,k^i_1),\cdots,(\mu^i_{l(\mu^i)},k^i_{l(\mu^i)})\}$, there are $l(\mu^i)$ relative marked points on the domain curve with monodromies $k^i_1,\cdots,k^i_{l(\mu^i)}\in\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$.

In order for the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$ to be non-empty, we must have the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p}\gamma_i+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu^i)}k^i_j=0\in\mathbb{Z}_{n+1},$$

or the following parity condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p}\gamma_i+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu^i)}k_j^i=0 \mod (n+1),$$

if we identify $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ with the set $\{0,\cdots,n\}$.

The virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$ is equal to

$$2g-2+p+\sum_{i=1}^{r}l(\overline{\mu}^i)-(r-2)m.$$

We will also consider the disconnected version $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$, where the domain curve $C$ is allowed to be disconnected, and $\chi:=2(h^0(\mathcal{O}_C)-h^1(\mathcal{O}_C))$.

2.3. **Torus action.** Let $T=(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ be a 2-dimensional algebraic torus. Consider the standard diagonal action of $T$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$, with $T$-characters $t_1$, $t_2$, which induces a $T$-action on $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$ with characters $\frac{t_1}{n+1}$, $\frac{t_2}{n+1}$. Identifying $\mathcal{X}=[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$ with the total space of $L\oplus L^{-1}\to\mathcal{Y}$, we have a $T$-action on the fibers of $L\oplus L^{-1}\to\mathcal{Y}$ via characters $\frac{t_1}{n+1}$, $\frac{t_2}{n+1}$.

Let $\overline{\mu}$, $\overline{\nu}$ be two $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions of $m$. Recall that we have defined the moduli space of relative stable maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})$. If we choose the two relative points $z_1,z_2\in\mathcal{Y}$ to be 0, $\infty$, then we have a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})$ induced by the standard $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{Y}$. Define the quotient spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*$ as

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*:=\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})\Big\backslash\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})^{\mathbb{C}^*}\right)/\mathbb{C}^*$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*:=\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})\Big\backslash\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})^{\mathbb{C}^*}\right)/\mathbb{C}^*$$

respectively. These are the moduli spaces of relative stable maps to the nonrigid $\mathcal{Y}$, which by convention, are called *rubber* moduli spaces.

2.4. **Obstruction bundle.** Let

$$\pi:\mathcal{U}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$$

be the universal domain curve and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the universal target. Then there is an evaluation map

$$F:\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{T}$$

and a contraction map

$$\tilde{\pi}:\mathcal{T}\to\mathcal{Y}.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}V_1&=R^1\pi_*\tilde{F}^*L\\V_2&=R^1\pi_*\tilde{F}^*L^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{F}=\tilde{\pi}\circ F:\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{Y}$. It is easy to see that the rank of $V_1$ is

$$g-1+\sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{\gamma_i}{n+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu^i)}\frac{k_j^i}{n+1}+\delta,$$

where we identify $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ with the set $\{0,\cdots,n\}$ and $\delta$ is defined to be

$$\delta=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if all monodromies on the domain curve are trivial}\\0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Similarly, the rank of $V_2$ is

$$g-1+\sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{n+1-\gamma_i}{n+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu^i)}\frac{n+1-k_j^i-(n+1)\delta_{0,k_j^i}}{n+1}+\delta,$$

where

$$\delta_{0,x}=\begin{cases}1, & x=0,\\ 0, & x\neq 0.\end{cases}$$

So the rank of the bundle

$$V:=V_1\oplus V_2$$

is equal to

$$2g-2+p+\sum_{i=1}^{r}l''(\overline{\mu}^i)+2\delta.$$

## 3. Relative Gromov–Witten theory of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$

3.1. **Relative GW invariants.** Let $\mathcal{X}=[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$ which is isomorphic to the total space of

$$L\oplus L^{-1}\to\mathcal{Y}.$$

Let $z_1,\cdots,z_r$ be $r$ points on $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r$ be $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions of $m$. We are interested in the GW theory of $\mathcal{X}$, relative to the $r$ fibers $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\{z_i\}$. Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$$

to be the moduli space of relative stable maps to $(\mathcal{X},[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\{z_1\},\cdots,[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\{z_r\})$ with ramification profiles $\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r$. The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$ is noncompact.

Recall that we have a $T$-action on the fibers of $\mathcal{X}$ with weights $\frac{t_1}{n+1}$, $\frac{t_2}{n+1}$, which induces a $T$-action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$. The fixed loci of this action is

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)^T=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r).$$

Therefore, although $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)$ is noncompact, the fixed loci $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)^T$ is compact. So we define the $T$-equivariant relative GW invariants as

$$\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}:=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^1)|\cdots|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^r)|}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{X},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)^T\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\frac{1}{e_T(N^{\mathrm{vir}})},$$

where $N^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is the virtual normal bundle and $e_T(N^{\mathrm{vir}})$ is the $T$-equivairant Euler class. Here the factors $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^i)|$ comes from the convention that we would like to count as if the relative marked points are *unordered*.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}: &= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^1)|\cdots|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^r)|}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\frac{e_T(R^1\pi_*\tilde{F}^*(L\oplus L^{-1}))}{e_T(R^0\pi_*\tilde{F}^*(L\oplus L^{-1}))}\\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^1)|\cdots|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^r)|}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\frac{e_T(V)}{e_T(R^0\pi_*\tilde{F}^*(L\oplus L^{-1}))},\end{aligned}$$

where $V$ is the obstruction bundle defined in the last section. The rank of $R^0\pi_*\tilde{F}^*(L\oplus L^{-1})$ is equal to $2\delta$ and $e_T(R^0\pi_*\tilde{F}^*(L\oplus L^{-1}))=\left(\frac{t_1t_2}{(n+1)^2}\right)^{\delta}$.

We are also interested in the case when the target is nonrigid. We define the rubber invariants $\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\backsim}$ as

$$\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}:=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^1)|\cdots|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu^r)|}\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r)/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\frac{e_T(V)}{e_T(R^0\pi_*\tilde{F}^*(L\oplus L^{-1}))}$$

Here we abuse the notations $V$, $\pi$ and $\tilde{F}$ for their counterparts in the nonrigid case.

The notations

$$\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{\chi,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\bullet},\quad \langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{\chi,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\bullet,\sim}$$

will denote the *disconnected* $r$-point correlation functions.

3.2. **The fundamental case: $r=3$.** In this subsection, we study the relative GW theory of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$ in the case $r=3$. Let $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}$ be three $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions of $m$, with

$$\overline{\mu}=\{(\mu_1,k_1),\cdots,(\mu_{l(\mu)},k_{l(\mu)})\},\quad \overline{\nu}=\{(\nu_1,l_1),\cdots,(\nu_{l(\nu)},l_{l(\nu)})\}.$$

We will compute the relative GW invariants

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$$

for

$$\overline{\rho}=\{(1,0),\cdots,(1,0)\},\quad \{(2,0),(1,0),\cdots,(1,0)\},\quad \text{or}\quad \{(1,k),(1,0),\cdots,(1,0)\},$$

where $k\neq 0$. For simplicity, we will use the short notations

$$(1,0)^m,\quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2},\quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1}.$$

Under the generation conjecture (see Section 6.3), these three special cases determine the general relative GW invariant $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$. By the degeneration formula, this in turn determines $\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$ for arbitrary $r$.

3.2.1. *The case $\overline{\rho}=(1,0)^m$.* By computations in Section 2.2, the virtual dimension of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho})$ is

$$2g-2+p+l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu}).$$

On the other hand, by the computation in Section 2.4, the rank of the obstruction bundle $V=V_1\oplus V_2$ is equal to

$$2g-2+p+l''(\overline{\mu})+l''(\overline{\nu})+2\delta.$$

The number $\delta$ is nonzero only if all the monodromies around loops on the domain curve are trivial, which only happens in the smooth case and the computation is done in [7]. Otherwise, we have $\delta=0$ and the rank of $V$ is $2g-2+p+l''(\overline{\mu})+l''(\overline{\nu})$, which is less than or equal to the virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho})$.

If $2g-2+p+l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu})>0$, then since the invariant is a polynomial in $t_1$, $t_2$ divisible by $(t_1+t_2)$ (for an argument, see Section 4.1), it has to be zero by dimensional constraints. If $2g-2+p+l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu})=2g-2+p+l''(\overline{\mu})+l''(\overline{\nu})=0$, then we must have $p=0$, $g=0$, and $l(\overline{\mu})=l(\overline{\nu})=l''(\overline{\mu})=l''(\overline{\nu})=1$.

In conclusion, the invariants for $\overline{\rho}=(1,0)^m$ are equal to $\frac{1}{m(n+1)}$.

3.2.2. *The case* $\overline{\rho}=(2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}$. In this case, we will reduce the relative GW invariants $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$ to the rubber invariants $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$. Notice that we can replace a nonrigid invariant with a rigid invariant by imposing the condition that a marked point on the domain curve lies on a fixed fiber of $L\oplus L^{-1}\to\mathcal{Y}$. So we have

$$\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_1[F]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ} \;=\; \langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_1(\mathbf{1})|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim} \;=\; (2g-2+p+l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu}))\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim},$$

where $[F]$ is the fiber class and we have used the dilaton equation in the second equality.

On the other hand, $\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_1[F]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$ can be computed by the degeneration formula. We degenerate the base $\mathcal{Y}$ into two components, such that the two relative marked points lie on one component and the fiber insertion lies on the other component. Degeneration formula implies

$$\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_1[F]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}=\sum_{\overline{\rho},\gamma'\sqcup\gamma''=\gamma,\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2}\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{\Gamma_1,\gamma'}^{\mathcal{X},\bullet}Z_{\overline{\rho}}\langle-\overline{\rho}|\tau_1[F]|\rangle_{\Gamma_2,\gamma''}^{\mathcal{X},\bullet},$$

where $Z_{\overline{\rho}}=|\mathrm{Aut}(\overline{\rho})|(n+1)^{l(\rho)}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\rho)}\rho_i$, and we are summing over all domain curve configurations $\Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_2$, such that the glued curve over $\Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_2$ is connected.

The second factor, which is a priori an integral over the moduli of relative stable maps with disconnected domains, can be written as that over a product of moduli spaces with connected domains. Each such moduli space is either of the form

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i),\quad\text{or}\quad\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(\mathbf{1})}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i),\quad i=1,2,$$

depending on whether the insertion $\tau_1[F]$ is on the particular connected component or not.

The virtual dimensions of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(\mathbf{1})}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ are

$$2g_i-2+p_i+m_i+l(\overline{\rho}^i),\quad 2g_i-1+p_i+m_i+l(\overline{\rho}^i)$$

respectively, where $p_i$ is the length of $\gamma^i$ and $\overline{\rho}^i$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partition of $m_i$. The rank of the obstruction bundle $V$ over each moduli space is equal to

$$2g_i-2+p_i+l''(\overline{\rho}^i)+2\delta.$$

Suppose for the moment that $\delta=0$. Then the virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ is larger than the rank of the obstruction bundle and hence the invariant is zero. The virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(\mathbf{1})}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ is larger than or equal to the rank of $V$ plus $\deg\tau_1[F]=2$, and the equality holds if and only if $m_i=1$ and $l''(\overline{\rho}^i)=l(\overline{\rho}^i)=1$, which forces $\gamma^i\neq\emptyset$ in order for the sum of monodromies at all marked points to be zero ($l''(\overline{\rho}^i)=l(\overline{\rho}^i)=1$ implies the relative marked point has nontrivial monodromy). However in this case the invariant is divisible by $(t_1+t_2)$ since $\gamma^i\neq\emptyset$, which forces it to vanish by dimensional reasons. In short, the case $\delta=0$ does not contribute to the invariants.

Therefore, we must have $\delta=1$ for each moduli space, which reduces to the smooth case in [7]. Moreover we must have $\overline{\rho}^i=(1,0)^{m_i}$ for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ and $\overline{\rho}^i=(2,0)(1,0)^{m_i-2}$ for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(\mathbf{1})}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$. In other words, only $\overline{\rho}=(2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}$ appears in the gluing summation. So we have

$$\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_1[F]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}=\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ},$$

for $\overline{\rho}=(2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}$.

Recall the rigidification result obtained at the beginning of this subsection, and we conclude that

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}=(2g-2+p+l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu}))\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}.$$

3.2.3. *The case* $\overline{\rho}=(1,k)(1,0)^{m-1}$, $k\neq 0$. In this case, similar arguments still works to reduce $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$ to the rubber integral. First we have a similar rigidification argument

$$\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_0[\iota_*k]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ} \;=\; \langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_0(k)|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim} \;=\; \langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma\sqcup(k)}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim},$$

where $\iota: F\to\mathcal{X}$ is the inclusion of the fiber and we view $k$ as a twisted sector of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$.

On the other hand, we can still use the degeneration formula to compute $\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_0[\iota_*k]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$. Degenerate the base $\mathcal{Y}$ into two components, such that the two relative marked points lie on one component and the fiber insertion lies on the other component. We have the following degeneration formula

$$\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_0[\iota_*k]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}=\sum_{\overline{\rho},\gamma'\sqcup\gamma''=\gamma,\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2}\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{\Gamma_1,\gamma'}^{\mathcal{X},\bullet}Z_{\overline{\rho}}\langle-\overline{\rho}|\tau_0[\iota_*k]|\rangle_{\Gamma_2,\gamma''}^{\mathcal{X},\bullet},$$

where $Z_{\overline{\rho}}=|\mathrm{Aut}(\overline{\rho})|(n+1)^{l(\overline{\rho})}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\rho})}\rho_i$, and we are summing over all domain curve configurations $\Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_2$ such that the glued curve over $\Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_2$ is connected.

As before, the second factor is an integral over a product of moduli spaces of relative stable maps with connected domains, each of the form

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i),\quad\text{or}\quad\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(k)}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i),$$

depending on whether the insertion $\tau_0[\iota_*k]$ is on the particular connected component or not.

The virtual dimensions of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(k)}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ are

$$2g_i-2+p_i+m_i+l(\overline{\rho}^i),\quad 2g_i-1+p_i+m_i+l(\overline{\rho}^i)$$

respectively, where $p_i$ is the length of $\gamma_i$ and $\overline{\rho}^i$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partition of $m_i$. The ranks of the obstruction bundle $V$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(k)}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ are equal to

$$2g_i-2+p_i+l''(\overline{\rho}^i)+2\delta,\quad 2g_i-1+p_i+l''(\overline{\rho}^i)+2\delta$$

respectively.

In the second case, since there is already a nontrivial monodromy $k$, we must have $\delta=0$. The virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i\sqcup(k)}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ is larger than or equal to the rank of $V$ plus $\deg\tau_0[\iota_*k]=1$, and the equality holds if and only if $m_i=1$ and $l''(\overline{\rho}^i)=l(\overline{\rho}^i)=1$. If $\gamma^i\neq\emptyset$, then again the invariants must vanish due to the divisibility by $(t_1+t_2)$. If $\gamma^i=\emptyset$, then since the sum of monodromies at all marked points is zero, one must have $\overline{\rho}^i=(1,k)(1,0)^{m_i-1}$ and $-\overline{\rho}^i=(1,-k)(1,0)^{m_i-1}$.

Over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$, if $\delta=0$ then the rank of $V$ is less than the virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i,\gamma^i}(\mathcal{Y},-\overline{\rho}^i)$ and hence the invariant is zero. If $\delta=1$, then $\overline{\rho}^i=(1,0)^m$ and we reduce to the smooth case [7].

Hence only $\overline{\rho}=(1,k)(1,0)^{m-1}$ appears in the gluing summation and

$$\langle\overline{\mu}|\tau_0[\iota_*k]|\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}=\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ},$$

for $\overline{\rho}=(1,k)(1,0)^{m-1}$. Recall the rigidification result obtained at the beginning of this subsection, and we conclude that

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}=\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma\sqcup(k)}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}.$$

## 4. Rubber invariants and Crepant Resolution Conjecture

Recall that in Section 3, we have reduced the relative GW invariants

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ}$$

for

$$\overline{\rho}=(1,0)^m,\quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2},\quad \text{or}\quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

to rubber invariants $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$. In this section, we will compute the rubber invariants $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$, using the orbifold Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch calculation in [20].

4.1. **Rubber invariants.** In this subsection, we compute the rubber invariants $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ for

$$\overline{\mu}=\{(\mu_1,k_1),\cdots,(\mu_{l(\mu)},k_{l(\mu)})\},\quad \overline{\nu}=\{(\nu_1,l_1),\cdots,(\nu_{l(\nu)},l_{l(\nu)})\}.$$

We set the two relative points on $\mathcal{Y}$ for $\overline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ to be 0 and $\infty$. Recall that the rubber invariant $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ is defined as

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\frac{e_T(V)}{e_T(R^0\pi_*\tilde{F}^*(L\oplus L^{-1}))}.$$

The virtual dimension $d$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*$ is equal to

$$2g-3+p+l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu}).$$

On the other hand, the rank of the obstruction bundle $V=V_1\oplus V_2$ is

$$2g-2+p+l''(\overline{\mu})+l''(\overline{\nu})+2\delta.$$

If $\delta=1$, then all the monodromies around loops on the domain curve are zero and we reduce to the smooth case in [7]. Otherwise, the rank of $V$ is at most one greater than the virtual dimension $d$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*$, which occurs only if $l''(\overline{\mu})=l(\overline{\mu})$ and $l''(\overline{\nu})=l(\overline{\nu})$, i.e. all the $k_i$'s and $l_i$'s are nontrivial.

Recall that in orbifold GW theory, the concept of Hodge bundle is generalized to the so-called Hurwitz–Hodge bundle. For each character $\chi:\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\to\mathbb{C}^*$, there is an associated Hurwitz–Hodge bundle $\mathbb{E}_\chi$. Let $\lambda_i^\chi=c_i(\mathbb{E}_\chi)$ be the $i$-th Chern class of the Hurwitz–Hodge bundle $\mathbb{E}_\chi$, called the Hurwitz–Hodge class. In our case, the vector bundles $V_1$ and $V_2$ are dual to the Hurwitz–Hodge bundles $\mathbb{E}_U$ and $\mathbb{E}_{U^\vee}$, where $U$ and $U^\vee$ denote respectively the fundamental representation of $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ and its dual. Let $r_1$ and $r_2$ be the rank of $V_1$ and $V_2$ respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}e_T(V_1)&=t_1^{r_1}-t_1^{r_1-1}\lambda_1^U+\cdots+(-1)^{r_1}\lambda_{r_1}^U\\ e_T(V_2)&=t_2^{r_2}-t_2^{r_2-1}\lambda_1^{U^\vee}+\cdots+(-1)^{r_2}\lambda_{r_2}^{U^\vee}.\end{aligned}$$

There is an orbifold version of the Mumford relation:

$$e_T(V_1)e_T(V_2)\big|_{t_1+t_2=0}=t_1^{r_1}t_2^{r_2}.$$

In particular,

$$\lambda_{r_1}^U\lambda_{r_2}^{U^\vee}=0,\quad \text{if } r_1+r_2>0.$$

Now we come back to our rubber invariants $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$. We assume that $\delta=0$ because $\delta=1$ reduces to the smooth case [7]. A priori, the invariant $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ vanishes unless $r_1+r_2$ is greater than or equal to the virtual dimension $d$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*$. However when $r_1+r_2>0$, we have $\lambda_{r_1}^U\lambda_{r_2}^{U^\vee}=0$. So in this case, we must have $r_1+r_2\geq d+1$ so that the invariant $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ does not vanish. But we know that $r_1+r_2\leq d+1$. Therefore $r_1+r_2=d+1$, which only happens when $l''(\overline{\mu})=l(\overline{\mu})$ and $l''(\overline{\nu})=l(\overline{\nu})$.

In summary, when $\delta=0$, there are two nontrivial cases:

(1) $r_1+r_2=d+1>0$, in which case $l''(\overline{\mu})=l(\overline{\mu})$ and $l''(\overline{\nu})=l(\overline{\nu})$.
(2) $r_1+r_2=d=0$.

In the first case, our invariant can be written as

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}=\frac{(-1)^{r_1+r_2-1}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\left(t_1\lambda_{r_1-1}^U\lambda_{r_2}^{U^\vee}+t_2\lambda_{r_1}^U\lambda_{r_2-1}^{U^\vee}\right).$$

By Mumford's relation, $\lambda_{r_1-1}^U\lambda_{r_2}^{U^\vee}=\lambda_{r_1}^U\lambda_{r_2-1}^{U^\vee}$. Therefore,

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}=\frac{(-1)^{r_1+r_2-1}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\left((t_1+t_2)\lambda_{r_1}^U\lambda_{r_2-1}^{U^\vee}\right).$$

The second case is easy: we only have three sub-cases to consider. The first one is the case when $g=0,p=0,l(\overline{\mu})=2,l''(\overline{\mu})=l''(\overline{\nu})=l(\overline{\nu})=1$; the second one is the case when $g=0,p=0,l(\overline{\mu})=2=l''(\overline{\mu}),l''(\overline{\nu})=0,l(\overline{\nu})=1$; the third one is the case when $g=0,p=1,l(\overline{\mu})=1,l''(\overline{\mu})=0,l''(\overline{\nu})=l(\overline{\nu})=1$. Of course we can change the roles of $\overline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ but we view them as the same case. In all of the above cases, we require that the sum of the monodromies around the marked points on the domain curve is equal to zero. In the first and second sub-cases,

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)|}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}1=\frac{1}{(n+1)|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)|}.$$

In the third sub-case,

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}=\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{Y},\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})/\!\!/\mathbb{C}^*\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}1=\frac{1}{(n+1)}.$$

4.2. **Double ramification cycle and Pixton's formula.** The double ramification cycle $\mathrm{DR}_{g,N}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$ is defined as the pushforward of the virtual class under the forgetful map

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N-l(\mu)-l(\nu)}(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu,\nu)^\sim\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N},$$

where $\mu$, $\nu$ here are ordinary partitions of $m$.

Consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})^\sim & \xrightarrow{p} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi}\\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,p}(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu,\nu)^\sim & \xrightarrow{p} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,l(\mu)+l(\nu)+p},\end{array}$$

where $\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma$ means the collection of all (relative and non-relative) marked points with their monodromies, and for simplicity we have abused the notations $p$ and $\pi$. Our strategy is to push the virtual class of the rubber moduli space forward to the moduli of curve.

**Lemma 4.1.**

$$p_*\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})^\sim\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}=\pi^*\mathrm{DR}_{g,l(\mu)+l(\nu)+p}.$$

*Proof.* By definition of the double ramification cycle and the commutativity of $\pi^*$ and $p_*$, it suffices to prove

$$\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})^\sim\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}=\pi^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,p}(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu,\nu)^\sim\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}.$$

Hence by functoriality of virtual classes [5], it suffices to prove the perfect obstruction theory on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,p}(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu,\nu)^\sim$ pulls back to the upstairs.

We apply the perfect obstruction theory introduced in [1] for the moduli of relative stable maps. For a moduli point in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,p}(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu,\nu)^\sim$ represented by a relative stable map $f:C\to\mathbb{P}^1[k]$, one needs to add certain orbifold structures at nodes and relative divisors of $\mathbb{P}^1[k]$, and also on the domain,

such that the resulting map $f':C'\to\mathbb{P}^1[k]'$ is *transversal*, in the sense of [1]. The obstruction theory is then given by the complex

$$\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet\left([(f')^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1[k]'}\to\Omega_{C'}(\Sigma')],\mathcal{O}_{C'}\right),$$

where $\Sigma'\subset C'$ denotes the divisor of all non-relative markings.

Now let $g:\mathcal{C}\to B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1[k]$ be a point over $f$. The key observation is that the relative structure is only along the $\mathbb{P}^1$ direction. The extra orbifold structures are actually introduced by root constructions, which commutes with the base change by $B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$. Hence the cotangent complex $[(g')^*\Omega_{B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1[k]'}\to\Omega_{\mathcal{C}'}(\Sigma')]$ is simply the pull-back of $[(f')^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1[k]'}\to\Omega_{C'}(\Sigma')]$, and so is the obstruction theory. □

Now the rubber invariant $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ is equal to

$$\frac{(-1)^{r_1+r_2-1}(t_1+t_2)}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})}\pi^*\mathrm{DR}\cdot\lambda_{r_1}^U\lambda_{r_2-1}^{U^\vee}=\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\pi^*\mathrm{DR}.$$

In order to compute the rubber invariant $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$, we first need to study the double ramification cycle DR. A combinatorial expression for DR is obtained in [11], known as Pixton's formula. This formula will be used to study $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ in this paper and we now give a brief description of it.

Let $G_{g,N}$ be the set of all genus $g$ stable graphs with $N$ leaves. To each $\Gamma\in G_{g,N}$, we associate the moduli space

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Gamma:=\prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v),n(v)}.$$

Then there is a map

$$\xi_\Gamma:\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Gamma\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$$

with image equal to the closure of the boundary stratum associated with $\Gamma$.

Let $r$ be a positive integer and $\Gamma\in G_{g,N}$. Fix a ramification datum $A=(a_1,\cdots,a_N)$. A *weighting mod* $r$ of $\Gamma$ is a function

$$w:H(\Gamma)\to\{1,\cdots,r\},$$

satisfying

(1) For each $h_i\in L(\Gamma)$ corresponding to the marking $i\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$,
$$w(h_i)=a_i\mod r$$
(2) For each $e\in E(\Gamma)$ corresponding to two half-edges $h,h'\in H(\Gamma)$,
$$w(h)+w(h')=0$$
(3) For each $v\in V(\Gamma)$,
$$\sum_{h\text{ incident to }v}w(h)=0.$$

We denote by $W_{\Gamma,r}$ the set of all weightings mod $r$ of $\Gamma$, and by $P_g^{d,r}(A)$ the degree $d$ component of the tautological class

$$\sum_{\Gamma\in G_{g,N}}\sum_{w\in W_{\Gamma,r}}\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma|}\frac{1}{r^{h^1(\Gamma)}}\xi_{\Gamma*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n\exp(a_i^2\psi_i)\prod_{e=(h,h')\in E(\Gamma)}\frac{1-\exp(-w(h)w(h')(\psi_h+\psi_{h'}))}{\psi_h+\psi_{h'}}\right)$$

in $R^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$.

In [11], Pixton shows that for fixed $g, A$, and $d$, the class $P_g^{d,r}(A)$ is a polynomial in $r$. Denote by $P_g^d(A)$ the constant term of $P_g^{d,r}(A)$. The main result of [11] is the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.** *For $g \geq 0$ and double ramification data $A$,*

$$\mathrm{DR}_g(A) = 2^{-g} P_g^d(A) \in R^g(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}).$$

4.3. **Vanishing property of the Hurwitz–Hodge classes.**

**Theorem 4.3.** *The Hurwitz–Hodge class $c_{r_1+r_2-1}(\mathbb{E}_U \oplus \mathbb{E}_{U^\vee})$ vanishes on the boundary strata of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})$, except those consisting of irreducible singular nodal curves with nontrivial monodromies at nodes.*

*Proof.* We investigate the behavior of Hurwitz–Hodge classes on the boundary. The normalization of a *reducible* twisted nodal curve $C$ in the boundary has at least two connected components. Let $\nu : \widetilde{C} \to C$ be a partial normalization such that $\widetilde{C} = C_1 \sqcup C_2$ has two connected components. Let $f$ be the number of normalizing nodes. We have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \mathcal{O}_{p_i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensor it with $U \oplus U^\vee$ and consider the long exact sequence (recall that $U$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-representation with weight 1)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee)) \longrightarrow H^0(\widetilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}} \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee)) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^f \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee))^{\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}} \\ \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee)) \longrightarrow H^1(\widetilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}} \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the first two terms always vanish and we have

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^f \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee))^{\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}} \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee)) \longrightarrow H^1(\widetilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}} \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee)) \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

The curve is in the image of

$$\iota : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,\overline{\mu}^1,\overline{\nu}^1,\gamma^1,\alpha}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}) \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,\overline{\mu}^2,\overline{\nu}^2,\gamma^2,-\alpha}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}),$$

where $g_1 + g_2 + b - 1 = g$, the union of $(\overline{\mu}^i, \overline{\nu}^i, \gamma^i)$ on the two pieces $i = 1, 2$ matches the total datum, and $\pm\alpha = \pm(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_f)$ here stand for $f$ markings on either factors with opposite monodromies.

Denote by $R := r_1 + r_2$, $\mathbb{V} := \mathbb{E}_U \oplus \mathbb{E}_{U^\vee}$ and $\mathbb{V}_i, R_i$, $i = 1, 2$ the corresponding bundles and their ranks on the two factors. We have the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}^{\oplus f} \otimes (U \oplus U^\vee))^{\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}} \longrightarrow \iota^* \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_1 \boxplus \mathbb{V}_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence,

$$\iota^* c_{R-1}(\mathbb{V}) = c_{R-1}(\mathbb{V}_1 \boxplus \mathbb{V}_2),$$

and the ranks satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + R_2 &= (2g_1 - 2 + l(\overline{\mu}^1) + l(\overline{\nu}^1) + p_1 + f'') + (2g_2 - 2 + l(\overline{\mu}^2) + l(\overline{\nu}^2) + p_2 + f'') \\ &= 2(g - f + 1) - 4 + l(\mu) + l(\nu) + p + 2f'' \\ &= R - 2(f - f'') \\ &\leq R, \end{aligned}$$

where $f''$ is the number of nodal markings with nontrivial monodromies.

If the inequality is strict, then $R_1+R_2\leq R-2$, and $\iota^*c_{R-1}(\mathbb{V})$ simply vanishes by dimensional reasons. If the equality holds, which only happens when all nodal markings have nontrivial monodromies, then

$$\iota^*c_{R-1}(\mathbb{V})=c_{R_1}(\mathbb{V}_1)c_{R_2-1}(\mathbb{V}_2)+c_{R_1-1}(\mathbb{V}_1)c_{R_2}(\mathbb{V}_2),$$

which vanishes since the $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-Mumford relation implies $c_{R_1}(\mathbb{V}_1)=c_{R_2}(\mathbb{V}_2)=0$.

We are left with the case when $C$ is irreducible nodal but with some nodes having trivial monodromies. Similarly one can consider the normalization sequence and the rank inequality would be strict. $\iota^*c_{R-1}(\mathbb{V})$ still vanishes by dimensional reasons. □

The vanishing result in Theorem 4.3 will greatly simplify our computation in the next subsection.

4.4. **Computation of rubber invariants and Crepant Resolution Conjecture.** In this subsection, we compute our rubber invariants $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ and prove the corresponding Crepant Resolution Conjecture.

4.4.1. *Computation of the double ramification cycle.* By Theorem 4.2 and 4.3, in order to compute the rubber invariant

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}=\frac{(-1)^{r_1+r_2-1}(t_1+t_2)}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})}\pi^*\mathrm{DR}\cdot\lambda_{r_1}^U\lambda_{r_2-1}^{U^\vee},\tag{4.1}$$

it suffices to consider the restriction of $\pi^*$DR to the main stratum, and to the strata of irreducible singular curves with nontrivial monodromies at nodes. Therefore, in the graph sum expression of $P_g^{d,r}(A)$, we only need to consider those graphs with one vertex and $f$ loops, for $0\leq f\leq g$.

Given $\Gamma\in G_{g,l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu})+l(\gamma)}$ with $V(\Gamma)=1$ and $E(\Gamma)=f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{e=(h,h')\in E(\Gamma)}\frac{1-\exp(-w(h)w(h')(\psi_h+\psi_{h'}))}{\psi_h+\psi_{h'}}\\ =\;&-\prod_{e=(h,h')\in E(\Gamma)}\Bigg((-w(h)(r-w(h)))+\frac{(-w(h)(r-w(h)))^2}{2}(\psi_h+\psi_{h'})+\cdots\\ &+\frac{(-w(h)(r-w(h)))^k}{k!}(\psi_h+\psi_{h'})^{k-1}+\cdots\Bigg),\end{aligned}$$

where the series must terminate after finite terms, by dimensional reasons.

The classical Bernoulli's formula implies

$$1^k+2^k+\cdots+r^k=\frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\frac{(k+1)!}{i!(k+1-i)!}B_ir^{k+1-i},$$

where $B_i$ is the $i$-th Bernoulli number. Since $r^{b_1(\Gamma)}=r^f$, in order to pick the constant term of $P_g^{d,r}(A)$, only the term $\frac{w(h)^{2k}}{k!}$ in the factor $\frac{(-w(h)(r-w(h)))^k}{k!}$ survives, because when we sum over $w(h)\in\{1,\cdots,r\}$ the terms in

$$1^{2k}+2^{2k}+\cdots+r^{2k}=\frac{1}{2k+1}\sum_{i=0}^{2k}\frac{(2k+1)!}{i!(2k+1-i)!}B_ir^{2k+1-i},$$

is at least $r$-linear. Hence the product over edges would produce an $r^f$ factor. Furthermore, we can only pick the term $\frac{1}{2k+1}\frac{(2k+1)!}{(2k)!(2k+1-2k)!}B_{2k}r=B_{2k}r$ in the above summation.

Let $[\cdot]_d$ denote the degree $d$ part of a class. Pixton's formula expands as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DR} &= 2^{-g}\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f}{2^f f!}\cdot(\xi_{\Gamma_f})_*\left(\sum_{M=f}^{g}\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mu_i^2\psi_i+\sum_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\nu_j^2\psi_{j+l(\overline{\mu})}\right)\right]_{g-M}\right.\\
&\quad\left.\cdot\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}B_{2m_i}\left(\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\frac{\psi_{h_i}^{k_i}}{m_ik_i!}\frac{\psi_{h_i'}^{m_i-1-k_i}}{(m_i-1-k_i)!}\right)\right)\right)\\
&= \sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f}{f!}(\xi_{\Gamma_f})_*\left(\sum_{M=f}^{g}2^{-M}\cdot\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mu_i^2\psi_i+\sum_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\nu_j^2\psi_{j+l(\overline{\mu})}\right)^{g-M}}{2^{g-M}(g-M)!}\right.\\
&\quad\left.\cdot\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}B_{2m_i}\left(\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\frac{\psi_{h_i}^{k_i}}{(2m_i)k_i!}\frac{\psi_{h_i'}^{m_i-1-k_i}}{(m_i-1-k_i)!}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_f$ is the unique graph in $G_{g,l(\overline{\mu})+l(\overline{\nu})+l(\gamma)}$ with $|V(\Gamma)|=1$ and $|E(\Gamma)|=f$.

4.4.2. *Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch calculation.* The double ramification cycle has been expressed in terms of $\psi$ classes. Now we compute our rubber invariant $\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ using the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch theorem.

In [21], J. Zhou obtains the following expression for descendent GW invariants of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$, using Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{N}\tau_{k_j}(a_j)\right\rangle_g^{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}\\
&= \frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-1}(-1)^{r_1}}{2}\sum_{I\sqcup J=[N]}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c(I)}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}(-1)^{|J|}\cdot\frac{1}{4^g\prod_{j=1}^{N}(2k_j+1)!!}\\
&\quad+\delta_{N,2}\cdot(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-1}(-1)^g\sum_{c=0}^{n}\frac{B_{2g}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{2g}\frac{1}{4^{g-1}\prod_{k_j>0}(2k_j-1)!!},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_j\in\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, $c(I)=-\sum_{i\in I}a_i$. Moreover, we assume that all $a_j$ occurring here are nonzero, $\sum a_j=0$, and $\sum k_j=g$, which hold in our case.

Applying the formula above, the rubber invariant $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{r_1+r_2-1}(t_1+t_2)\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})}\pi^*\mathrm{DR}\cdot\lambda_{r_1}^{U}\lambda_{r_2-1}^{U^\vee}\\
=\ &\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\pi^*\mathrm{DR}\\
=\ &\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f(n+1)^f}{f!}\sum_{\alpha_f}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-f,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma\sqcup\alpha_f}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\sum_{M=f}^{g}2^{-M}\cdot\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mu_i^2\overline{\psi}_i+\sum_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\nu_j^2\overline{\psi}_{j+l(\overline{\mu})}\right)^{g-M}}{2^{g-M}(g-M)!}\\
&\cdot\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}B_{2m_i}\left(\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\frac{\overline{\psi}_{h_i}^{k_i}}{(2m_i)k_i!}\frac{\overline{\psi}_{h_i'}^{m_i-1-k_i}}{(m_i-1-k_i)!}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f(n+1)^f}{f!}\sum_{\alpha_f}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-f,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma\sqcup\alpha_f}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\sum_{M=f}^{g}2^{-M}\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_{\sum_i k_i+\sum_j l_j=g-M}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\frac{\mu_i^{2k_i}\overline{\psi}_i^{k_i}}{2^{k_i}k_i!}\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\frac{\nu_j^{2l_i}\overline{\psi}_{j+l(\overline{\mu})}^{l_j}}{2^{l_j}l_j!}\cdot\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}B_{2m_i}\left(\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\frac{\overline{\psi}_{h_i}^{k_i}}{(2m_i)k_i!}\frac{\overline{\psi}_{h_i'}^{m_i-1-k_i}}{(m_i-1-k_i)!}\right)\right)\\
&= \sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f(n+1)^f}{f!}\sum_{\alpha_f}\sum_{M=f}^{g}2^{-M}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-2f-1}(-1)^{r_1}}{2}\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_{I\sqcup J=\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma\sqcup\alpha_f}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c(I)}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}(-1)^{|J|}\sum_{\sum_i k_i+\sum_j l_j=g-M}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\frac{(\frac{\mu_i}{2})^{2k_i}}{(2k_i+1)!}\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\frac{(\frac{\nu_i}{2})^{2l_i}}{(2l_j+1)!}\\
&\quad\cdot\frac{1}{4^{M-f}}\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}\left(\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\frac{B_{2m_i}}{(2m_i)(2k_i+1)!!k_i!}\frac{1}{(2(m_i-1-k_i)+1)!!(m_i-1-k_i)!}\right)\\
&= \sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f(n+1)^f}{f!}\sum_{\alpha_f}\sum_{M=f}^{g}2^{-M}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-2f-1}(-1)^{r_1}}{2}\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_{I\sqcup J=\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma\sqcup\alpha_f}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c(I)}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}(-1)^{|J|}\sum_{\sum_i k_i+\sum_j l_j=g-M}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\frac{(\frac{\mu_i}{2})^{2k_i}}{(2k_i+1)!}\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\frac{(\frac{\nu_i}{2})^{2l_i}}{(2l_j+1)!}\\
&\quad\cdot\frac{1}{2^{m-f}}\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}\left(\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\frac{B_{2m_i}}{(2m_i)(2k_i+1)!}\frac{1}{(2(m_i-1-k_i)+1)!}\right)\\
&= \sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f(n+1)^f}{f!}\sum_{\alpha_f}\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-2f-1}(-1)^{r_1}}{2}\cdot\sum_{I\sqcup J=\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma\sqcup\alpha_f}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c(I)}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}(-1)^{|J|}\\
&\quad\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}\frac{B_{2m_i}}{(2m_i)(2m_i)!}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the summation $\sum_{\alpha_f}$ is over all tuples $\alpha_f=(\alpha_{h_1},-\alpha_{h_1},\cdots,\alpha_{h_f},-\alpha_{h_f})$ with $\alpha_{h_1},\cdots,\alpha_{h_f}$ being *nontrivial* elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, corresponding to monodromies around the nodes; in the last equality we used the fact that

$$\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\frac{(2m_i)!}{(2k_i+1)!}\frac{1}{(2(m_i-1-k_i)+1)!}=2^{2m_i-1};$$

the function $\mathcal{S}(z)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}(z):=\frac{\sinh(z/2)}{z/2}.$$

By the trick of Section 3.1 of [21], we can rewrite the term involving Bernoulli numbers as follows.

$$\sum_{I\sqcup J=[N]}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c(I)}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}(-1)^{|J|} = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\sum_{l=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\zeta^{l\sum_{i\in I}a_i}\sum_{I\sqcup J=[N]}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}(-1)^{|J|}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\sum_{l=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}\sum_{I\sqcup J=[N]}\zeta^{l\sum_{i\in I}a_i}(-1)^{|J|} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}\prod_{j=1}^{N}(\zeta^{la_j}-1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, let $a$, $b$ be tuples of markings determined by $\overline{\mu}$, $\overline{\nu}$ respectively, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim} \\ = \;& \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f(n+1)^f}{f!}\sum_{\alpha_f}\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-2f-2}(-1)^{r_1}}{2} \\ &\cdot\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}(\zeta^{la_i}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}(\zeta^{lb_j}-1)\prod_{k=1}^{l(\gamma)}(\zeta^{l\gamma_k}-1)\prod_{i=1}^{f}(\zeta^{l\alpha_{h_i}}-1)(\zeta^{-l\alpha_{h_i}}-1) \\ &\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}\frac{B_{2m_i}}{(2m_i)(2m_i)!}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that since $l\neq 0$,

$$\sum_{\alpha_{h_i}=1}^{n}(\zeta^{l\alpha_{h_i}}-1)(\zeta^{-l\alpha_{h_i}}-1)=\sum_{\alpha_{h_i}=1}^{n}(2-\zeta^{l\alpha_{h_i}}-\zeta^{-l\alpha_{h_i}})=2(n+1).$$

Hence, one can eliminate the sum $\sum_{\alpha_f}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim} \;=\; & \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f 2^f}{f!}\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-2}(-1)^{r_1}}{2} \\ &\cdot\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}(\zeta^{la_i}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}(\zeta^{lb_j}-1)\prod_{k=1}^{l(\gamma)}(\zeta^{l\gamma_k}-1) \\ &\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}\frac{B_{2m_i}}{(2m_i)(2m_i)!}\right). \end{aligned}$$

4.4.3. *Generating functions.* We define the generating function for rubber invariants as

$$Z(x,z)^{\circ,\sim}_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}}:=\sum_{g\geq 0}\sum_{\gamma}(-1)^g z^{2g}\frac{x^\gamma}{\gamma!}\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{g,\gamma}^{\mathcal{X},\circ,\sim},$$

where $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_p)$ with $0\neq\gamma_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, $x_\gamma=x_{\gamma_1}\cdots x_{\gamma_p}$, and we use the more intuitive notation $\gamma!$ to denote $|\mathrm{Aut}(\gamma)|$. The factor $\gamma!$ appears because we would like to count those extra marked points as *unordered*.

In order for the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,a\sqcup b\sqcup\gamma}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$ to be nonempty, we must have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}a_i+\sum_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}b_j+\sum_{k=1}^{l(\gamma)}\gamma_k=0 \mod (n+1).$$

Recall that the Bernoulli polynomials $B_k(t)$, and Bernoulli numbers $B_k$ are defined by the following Taylor expansion:

$$\frac{ze^{tz}}{e^z-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}B_k(t)\frac{z^k}{k!},\quad B_k:=B_k(0).$$

The only nonzero odd Bernoulli number is $B_1=-\frac{1}{2}$. Define the generating function

$$F(z)\ :=\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}B_{2k}\frac{z^{2k}}{(2k)!}\ =\ \frac{z}{e^z-1}+\frac{z}{2}-1.$$

With the observations above, the generating function $Z(x,z)^{\circ,\sim}_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|\cdot Z(x,z)^{\circ,\sim}_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}}\\
=\ &\sum_{g\geq 0}\sum_{\gamma}(-1)^g z^{2g}\frac{x^\gamma}{\gamma!}\cdot\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{b=0}^{n}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\zeta^{ba_i}\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\zeta^{bb_j}\prod_{k=1}^{l(\gamma)}\zeta^{b\gamma_k}\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f2^f}{f!}\\
&\cdot\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-2}(-1)^{r_1}}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}(\zeta^{la_i}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}(\zeta^{lb_j}-1)\prod_{k=1}^{l(\gamma)}(\zeta^{l\gamma_k}-1)\\
&\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_f=M}\prod_{i=1}^{f}\frac{B_{2m_i}}{(2m_i)(2m_i)!}\right)\\
=\ &-\sum_{g\geq 0}z^{2g}\sum_{b=0}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\zeta^{ba_i+\frac{a_i}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\zeta^{bb_j+\frac{b_j}{2}}\cdot\sum_{p\geq 0}\frac{1}{p!}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n}\zeta^{ba+\frac{a}{2}}(\zeta^{la}-1)x_a\right)^p\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f2^f}{f!}\\
&\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-3}}{2}\cdot\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}(\zeta^{la_i}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}(\zeta^{lb_j}-1)\\
&\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot[z^{2M}]\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)^f,
\end{aligned}$$

where we've fixed a certain square root $\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}=e^{\frac{\pi i}{n+1}}$ of $\zeta$, and by $\int\frac{F(z)}{z}$ we always mean the power series obtained by termwise integration with constant term 0; we also used the formula for the rank $r_1$ given in Section 2.4.

4.4.4. *Crepant Resolution Conjecture.*

**Definition 4.4.** *For any $j\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$, we introduce a formal variable $y_j$ and define the following change of variables:*

$$y_j\ =\ \frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{n+1}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sin\frac{a\pi}{n+1}\cdot\zeta^{ja}x_a\ =\ \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{a=1}^{n}(\zeta^{a/2}-\zeta^{-a/2})\zeta^{ja}x_a.$$

*For any $1\leq s\leq t\leq n$, define*

$$y_{s\to t}=y_s+\cdots+y_t.$$

By Lemma 3.3 of [21], we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.5.** *For $0 \le b \le n$, $1 \le l \le n$, we have*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n} \zeta^{ba+\frac{a}{2}}(\zeta^{la}-1)x_a = \begin{cases} (n+1)y_{b+1\to b+l}, & b+l < n+1, \\ -(n+1)y_{b+l-n\to b}, & b+l \ge n+1, \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4.6.** *For any integer $d > 0$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and any $a \in \{1,\cdots,n\}$, we introduce two formal variables $p^i_{d,a}$, $\hat{p}^i_{d,a}$ and define the following change of variables:*

$$\hat{p}^i_{d,j} = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{a=1}^{n}(\zeta^{a/2}-\zeta^{-a/2})\zeta^{ja}p^i_{d,a}.$$

*For any $1 \le s \le t \le n$, define*

$$\hat{p}^i_{d,s\to t} = \hat{p}^i_{d,s} + \cdots + \hat{p}^i_{d,t}.$$

*For any $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partition $\overline{\mu} = \{(\mu_1,a_1),\cdots,(\mu_{l(\overline{\mu})},a_{l(\overline{\mu})})\}$, define*

$$p^i_{\overline{\mu}} = p^i_{\mu_1,a_1}\cdots p^i_{\mu_{l(\overline{\mu})},a_{l(\overline{\mu})}}, \qquad \hat{p}^i_{\overline{\mu}} = \hat{p}^i_{\mu_1,a_1}\cdots \hat{p}^i_{\mu_{l(\overline{\mu})},a_{l(\overline{\mu})}}.$$

*Given any ordinary partition $\mu = \{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_{l(\mu)}\}$, define*

$$\hat{p}^i_{\mu,s\to t} = (\hat{p}^i_{\mu_1,s}+\cdots+\hat{p}^i_{\mu_1,t})\cdots(\hat{p}^i_{\mu_{l(\mu)},s}+\cdots+\hat{p}^i_{\mu_{l(\mu)},t}).$$

Similar to Lemma 4.5, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.7.** *For $d > 0$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, $0 \le b \le n$, $1 \le l \le n$, we have*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n} \zeta^{ba+\frac{a}{2}}(\zeta^{la}-1)p^i_{d,a} = \begin{cases} (n+1)\hat{p}^i_{d,b+1\to b+l}, & b+l < n+1, \\ -(n+1)\hat{p}^i_{d,b+l-n\to b}, & b+l \ge n+1, \end{cases}$$

We define the generating function $Z(x,z,p^1,p^2)^{\circ,\sim}$ as

$$Z(x,z,p^1,p^2)^{\circ,\sim} := \sum_{|\overline{\mu}|=|\overline{\nu}|=m} Z(x,z)^{\circ,\sim}_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}}p^1_{\overline{\mu}}p^2_{\overline{\nu}}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7 to the generating function $Z(x,z,p^1,p^2)^{\circ,\sim}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z(x,z,p^1,p^2)^{\circ,\sim} \\
=\ & -\sum_{|\overline{\mu}|=|\overline{\nu}|=m}\frac{p^1_{\overline{\mu}}p^2_{\overline{\nu}}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\sum_{g\ge 0}z^{2g}\sum_{b=0}^{n}\sum_{\substack{1\le l\le n\\ b+l<n+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\zeta^{ba_i+\frac{a_i}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\zeta^{bb_j+\frac{b_j}{2}} \\
&\sum_{p\ge 0}\frac{1}{p!}((n+1)y_{b+1\to b+l})^p\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f2^f}{f!}\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-3}}{2}\cdot\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2} \\
&\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}(\zeta^{la_i}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}(\zeta^{lb_j}-1)\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot[z^{2M}]\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)^f \\
&-\sum_{|\overline{\mu}|=|\overline{\nu}|=m}\frac{p^1_{\overline{\mu}}p^2_{\overline{\nu}}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\sum_{g\ge 0}z^{2g}\sum_{b=0}^{n}\sum_{\substack{1\le l\le n\\ b+l\ge n+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\zeta^{ba_i+\frac{a_i}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\zeta^{bb_j+\frac{b_j}{2}} \\
&\cdot\sum_{p\ge 0}\frac{1}{p!}(-(n+1)y_{b+l-n\to b})^p\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f2^f}{f!}\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-3}}{2}\cdot\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}(\zeta^{la_i}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}(\zeta^{lb_j}-1)\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot[z^{2M}]\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)^f$$

$$= -\sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=m}\sum_{g\geq 0}z^{2g}\sum_{b=0}^{n}\sum_{\substack{1\leq l\leq n\\ b+l<n+1}}\frac{(n+1)^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)}\hat{p}^1_{\mu,b+1\to b+l}\hat{p}^2_{\nu,b+1\to b+l}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}$$

$$\cdot\sum_{p\geq 0}\frac{1}{p!}((n+1)y_{b+1\to b+l})^p\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f 2^f}{f!}\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-3}}{2}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}$$

$$\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot[z^{2M}]\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)^f$$

$$-\sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=m}\sum_{g\geq 0}z^{2g}\sum_{b=0}^{n}\sum_{\substack{1\leq l\leq n\\ b+l\geq n+1}}\frac{(-(n+1))^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)}\hat{p}^1_{\mu,b+l-n\to b}\hat{p}^2_{\nu,b+l-n\to b}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}$$

$$\cdot\sum_{p\geq 0}\frac{1}{p!}(-(n+1)y_{b+l-n\to b})^p\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f 2^f}{f!}\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-3}}{2}\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{lc}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}$$

$$\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot[z^{2M}]\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)^f$$

$$= -2\sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=m}\sum_{g\geq 0}z^{2g}\sum_{1\leq s\leq t\leq n}\frac{\hat{p}^1_{\mu,s\to t}\hat{p}^2_{\nu,s\to t}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\cdot\sum_{p\geq 0}\frac{1}{p!}(y_{s\to t})^p\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f 2^f}{f!}$$

$$\sum_{M=f}^{g}\frac{(t_1+t_2)(n+1)^{2g-3+l(\mu)+l(\nu)+p}}{2}\cdot\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{c(t-s+1)}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{r_1+r_2}$$

$$\cdot[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot[z^{2M}]\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)^f,$$

where in the last equality, we have used the fact

$$B_m(1-x)=(-1)^m B_m(x).$$

Now we make the following observation. For any $1\leq l\leq n$ and any formal variable $u$,

$$1+\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}(\zeta^l e^u)^d=\frac{1}{1-\zeta^l e^u}=-\frac{\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{cl}e^{cu}}{e^{(n+1)u}-1}=-\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{cl}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{B_k(\frac{c}{n+1})}{k!}((n+1)u)^{k-1}.$$

Taking derivatives on both sides for $2g-3+l(\mu)+l(\nu)$ times, we have

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}d^{2g-3+l(\mu)+l(\nu)}(\zeta^l e^u)^d=-\sum_{c=0}^{n}\zeta^{cl}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\frac{B_{r_1+r_2}\left(\frac{c}{n+1}\right)}{(r_1+r_2)p!}(n+1)^{2g-3+l(\mu)+l(\nu)+p}u^p,$$

where we used the fact $r_1+r_2=2g-2+l(\mu)+l(\nu)+p$. Substitute this identity into the generating function,

$$Z(x,z,p^1,p^2)^{\circ,\sim}$$

$$= (t_1+t_2)\sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=m}\sum_{g\geq 0} z^{2g}\sum_{1\leq s\leq t\leq n}\frac{\hat{p}^1_{\mu,s\to t}\hat{p}^2_{\nu,s\to t}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^{2g-3+l(\mu)+l(\nu)}(\zeta^{t-s+1}\exp(y_{s\to t}))^d$$
$$\cdot\sum_{f=0}^{g}\frac{(-1)^f 2^f}{f!}\sum_{M=f}^{g}[z^{2g-2M}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\overline{\mu})}\mathcal{S}(\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\overline{\nu})}\mathcal{S}(\nu_j z)\right)\cdot[z^{2M}]\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)^f.$$

A key observation here is that the power series $\int\frac{F(z)}{z}dz$ starts from the quadratic term (we let the integration constant be zero). Thus we can rewrite the sum $\sum_{M=f}^{g}$ as $\sum_{M=0}^{g}$ and the result does not change. Therefore,

$$Z(x,z,p^1,p^2)^{\circ,\sim} = (t_1+t_2)\sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=m}\sum_{1\leq s\leq t\leq n}\frac{\hat{p}^1_{\mu,s\to t}\hat{p}^2_{\nu,s\to t}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^{-3+l(\mu)+l(\nu)}$$
$$\cdot(\zeta^{t-s+1}\exp(y_{s\to t}))^d\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}\mathcal{S}(d\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\nu)}\mathcal{S}(d\nu_j z)\cdot\exp\left(-2\int\frac{F(dz)}{dz}\right)$$

The following lemma computes the exponential term.

**Lemma 4.8.**

$$\exp\left(\int\frac{F(z)}{z}dz\right)=\mathcal{S}(z).$$

*Proof.* Both sides take the value 1 at $z=0$. Thus it makes sense to take logarithms and it suffices to prove

$$\int\frac{F(z)}{z}dz=\log\mathcal{S}(z),$$

which is clear by checking the derivatives of both sides match with each other. □

Finally we obtain the following formula:

$$Z(x,z,p^1,p^2)^{\circ,\sim} = \frac{(t_1+t_2)}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=m}\sum_{1\leq s\leq t\leq n}\hat{p}^1_{\mu,s\to t}\hat{p}^2_{\nu,s\to t}$$
$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)-3}(\zeta^{t-s+1}\exp(y_{s\to t}))^d\cdot(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}\mathcal{S}(d\mu_i z)\prod_{j=1}^{l(\nu)}\mathcal{S}(d\nu_j z))}{\mathcal{S}(dz)^2}$$

We compare with the result (Proposition 3.6 and 4.3) in [12]. Let $\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$ denote the space of $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions, and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ denote the space of $H^*(\mathcal{A}_n)$-weighted partitions. A curve class $\beta\in H_2(\mathcal{A}_n,\mathbb{Z})$ is specified by the datum $(\beta,m):=m[\mathbb{P}^1]+\beta$, where $m$ is our fixed integer, and $\beta\in H_2(\mathcal{A}_n,\mathbb{Z})$. The generating functions for the relative GW theory of $\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1$ and the rubber theory are defined in [12] as

$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)^{\circ,\sim}_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}} := \sum_{g,\beta}z^{2g}s_1^{(\beta,\omega_1)}\cdots s_n^{(\beta,\omega_n)}\langle\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}\rangle^{\circ,\sim}_{g,(\beta,m)},$$
$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu}^1,\cdots,\vec{\mu}^r} := \sum_{\chi,\beta}z^{-\chi}s_1^{(\beta,\omega_1)}\cdots s_n^{(\beta,\omega_n)}\langle\vec{\mu}^1,\cdots,\vec{\mu}^r\rangle^{\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1,\bullet}_{\chi,(\beta,m)},$$

where $\vec{\mu}^i\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$, and the parameters $s_j$ are related to $y_j$ by

$$s_j=\zeta e^{y_j},\quad 1\leq j\leq n.$$

There is an explicit isomorphism between the two Fock spaces. If we identify $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ with the orbifold cohomology $H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$, then this isomorphism is given by

$$H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\cong H^*(\mathcal{A}_n),$$
$$e_0\mapsto 1,\quad e_i\mapsto \frac{\zeta^{i/2}-\zeta^{-i/2}}{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\zeta^{ij}\omega_j,\quad 1\le i\le n,$$

where $\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_n\in H^2(\mathcal{A}_n,\mathbb{Q})$ is the dual basis to the exceptional curves in $\mathcal{A}_n$.

**Theorem 4.9** (Rubber GW crepant resolution)**.** *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\in\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, let $\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ be their correspondents. We have*

$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)^{\circ,\sim}_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}}=Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)^{\circ,\sim}_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}},$$

*under the change of variables*

$$s_j=\zeta\exp\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{a=1}^{n}(\zeta^{a/2}-\zeta^{-a/2})\zeta^{ja}x_a\right),\quad 1\le j\le n.$$

We can obtain the following result for the *disconnected* theory, by the same argument as in Proposition 4.4 of [12]. The partition function for $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$ is defined as

$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r}:=\sum_{\chi,\beta}z^{-\chi}\frac{x^\gamma}{\gamma!}\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle^{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1,\bullet}_{\chi,\gamma}.$$

**Theorem 4.10** (GW crepant resolution)**.** *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho}=(1,0)^m,\quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2},\quad \textit{or}\quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*let $\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ be their correspondents. We have*

$$Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}}=Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}},$$

*under the change of variables*

$$s_j=\zeta\exp\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{a=1}^{n}(\zeta^{a/2}-\zeta^{-a/2})\zeta^{ja}x_a\right),\quad 1\le j\le n.$$

## 5. Orbifold quantum cohomology of symmetric products

As a generalization of [6], there is another theory in connection with our picture, the orbifold quantum cohomology of the symmetric products: $\mathrm{Sym}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$ and $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{A}_n)$.

Let $X$ be a DM stack, and $\mathrm{Sym}^m(X):=[X^m/S_m]$ be its $m$-th symmetric product. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X)$ be the stack whose objects are diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{C}' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X\\ {\scriptstyle p'}\downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{C}, & & \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{C}'\to\mathcal{C}$ is a degree $m$ étale covering of smooth stacky curves. Here $\mathcal{C}'$ is allowed to be disconnected.

Let $\eta$, $\eta'$, $\eta'\twoheadrightarrow\eta$ be certain topological data fixed for $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathcal{C}'\to\mathcal{C}$ respectively, which will be clear for our later application. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\eta'\twoheadrightarrow\eta}(X)$ be the open and closed substack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X)$ specified by the topological datum, and $\mathrm{Aut}(\eta'|\eta)$ be the group of automorphisms of $\eta'$, commuting with the covering $\eta'\twoheadrightarrow\eta$. We have the following results by K. Costello.

**Lemma 5.1.** *There is an isomorphism of moduli spaces,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\eta(\mathrm{Sym}^m(X)) \cong \coprod_{\eta'\twoheadrightarrow\eta} \left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\eta'\twoheadrightarrow\eta}(X)/\mathrm{Aut}(\eta'|\eta)\right],$$

*where the union is over all $\eta' \twoheadrightarrow \eta$ of degree $m$. Moreover, this identification is compatible with perfect relative obstruction theories over $\mathfrak{M}_\eta$.*

*Proof.* This is Lemma 7.1.1 of [10]. It is easy to see that the lemma also holds if $X$ is a DM stack instead of a scheme. □

Let's further investigate the evaluation maps on both sides. Let $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Sym}^m(X)$ be a stable map, and $x \in \mathcal{C}$ be a marked point. The evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_x$ maps $[f]$ to a point in the inertia stack, whose connected components are indexed by partitions of $m$. For a partition $\lambda$, the associated component is

$$\left[(X^n)^\lambda \Big/ C(\lambda)\right] = X^{l(\lambda)} \times B\left(S_\lambda \ltimes \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda_i}\right).$$

On the other hand, the preimage $(p')^{-1}(x)$ consists of $l(\lambda)$ gerby points. $\mathrm{ev}_x$ gives the image of each gerby point, with an ambiguity from the permutation under $\mathrm{Aut}(\lambda)$. Hence, we conclude that evaluation maps on both sides of Lemma 5.1 are compatible.

The state space for $\mathrm{Sym}^m(X)$ is its orbifold cohomology $H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathrm{Sym}^m(X))$. It is a classical result that the super graded vector space $\bigoplus_{m\geq 0} H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathrm{Sym}^m(X))$ can be realized as an irreducible highest weight representation of the super-Heisenberg algebra associated with $H^*(X)$. For a reference, see for example Section 5.2 in [3]. As a result, $H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathrm{Sym}^m(X))$ has a basis indexed by $H^*(X)$-weighted partitions of $m$.

In the case when $X$ is a surface, there is an isomorphism

$$H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathrm{Sym}^m(X)) \cong H^*(\mathrm{Hilb}^m(X)),$$

which respects the gradings and Poincaré pairings. Moreover, if $X$ has trivial canonical bundle, it also preserves the (orbifold) cup product.

5.1. $\mathrm{Sym}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$**.** Precisely, the $m$-th symmetric product is defined as

$$\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]) \;:=\; [[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]^m/S_m] \;=\; [\mathbb{C}^{2m}/(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \wr S_m)],$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \wr S_m := (\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})^m \rtimes S_m$ is the wreath product.

Denote $G := \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \wr S_m$. Stable maps into $[\mathbb{C}^{2m}/G]$ are the same as those mapping into the origin $BG$. Evaluation maps land in the orbifold cohomology of $BG$, which is indexed by conjugacy classes of $G$, or in other words, indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions of $m$.

The age of a component indexed by such a partition $\overline{\lambda}$ is $m - l'(\overline{\lambda})$, where $l'$ denotes the number of parts with trivial decoration. Components with age 1 are exactly

$$(2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}, \quad \text{or} \quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1}, \quad k \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}.$$

We aim to compute the 2-point function, whose moduli space is

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma}(\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])).$$

Here $\overline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ are two $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-weighted partitions as above, indicating monodromies at two of the marked points of the domain; $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{l(\gamma)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ records some extra marked points with *nontrivial* monodromies, which corresponds to divisors $(1,k)(1,0)^{m-1}$; $b \geq 0$ is an integer, recording the number of $(2,0)(1,0)^{m-1}$ insertions, which are considered as *unordered*.

The 2-point functions are defined as

$$\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}\rangle_{\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])}:=\sum_{b,\gamma}z^b\frac{x^\gamma}{\gamma!}\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma}(\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]))\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\mathrm{ev}_0^*\overline{\mu}\cdot\mathrm{ev}_\infty^*\overline{\nu}.$$

We now interpret the moduli in another aspect. As mentioned above, the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{cov}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$ parameterizes diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{C}' & \xrightarrow{f'} & [\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\\ {\scriptstyle p'}\downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{C}, & & \end{array}$$

with $p'$ an étale covering of degree $m$. $\mathcal{C}'$ here is allowed to be disconnected.

Consider the composition

$$\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\longrightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\wr S_m)\longrightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})^m,$$

and let $C'$, $C$ be their *relative* coarse moduli curve with respect to $B(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})^m$. Now the covering $\bar{p}':C'\to C$ is no longer étale, but only a ramified covering of degree $m$.

The topological data $\eta$, $\eta'$, $\eta'\twoheadrightarrow\eta$ here are the genus, data of marked points on $C$, $C'$, and the ramification profile of the covering, which are completely determined by $(0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma)$. The genus of $C$ is 0. Marked points on $C$, $C'$ and their monodromies are fixed by $\gamma$ and the decorations of $\overline{\mu}$, $\overline{\nu}$. The ramification profile of $\bar{p}'$ is given by the partitions $\mu$, $\nu$, and $b$, which indicates the number of extra *simple branched points*. Finally, the genus of $C'$, which we denote by $g$, can be calculated via Riemann-Hurwitz:

$$b=2g-2+l(\mu)+l(\nu).$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have the isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma}(\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]))\cong\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{(g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma)\twoheadrightarrow(0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma)}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])/\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)\times\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)\right].$$

Since the obstruction theories are compatible, it suffices to consider the GW theory of $B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, twisted by the Hurwitz–Hodge obstruction bundle $V_1\oplus V_2$ associated with the $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-representation $\mathbb{C}^2$, which is exactly the same bundle as in Section 2 and 3. The rank of this bundle is

$$2g-2+l(\gamma)+l''(\overline{\mu})+l''(\overline{\nu})+2\delta.$$

By the orbifold version of divisor axiom, as in Section 2.2 of [6], one can reduce to the computation of (connected) 2-point functions,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\circ_{(g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma)\twoheadrightarrow(0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma)}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})}e_T(V_1\oplus V_2)\\ =\;&\frac{t_1+t_2}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mu)||\mathrm{Aut}(\nu)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\circ_{(g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma)\twoheadrightarrow(0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma)}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1})}c_{r_1+r_2-1}(V_1\oplus V_2).\end{aligned}$$

Here by connected we mean the open and closed substack where the upper domain curve $\mathcal{C}'$ is connected. The relationship between the connected and disconnected theories is the same as before.

Let $U$ be the substack consisting of stable maps whose upper domain $\mathcal{C}'$ are *irreducible*. Hence for objects in $U$, $C'$ will always be irreducible and the coarse moduli curve of $C$ will always be $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Then $U$ is a common open substack, in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu})^{\sim} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{(g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma)\twoheadrightarrow(0,\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},b,\gamma)}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\overline{\mu}\sqcup\overline{\nu}\sqcup\gamma}(B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}). \end{array}$$

Now we apply the vanishing result Theorem 4.3, which implies that $c_{r_1+r_2-1}(V_1\oplus V_2)$ vanishes on the complement of $U$. Hence one can replace the virtual class in the integral by the closure of $U$, resulting in exactly the same integral as (4.1). Therefore, passing back to the disconnected theory, we obtain the following GW/Sym correspondence.

**Theorem 5.2** (GW/Sym correspondence)**.** *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho}=(1,0)^m,\quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2},\quad \text{or}\quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*we have*

$$z^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)+l(\rho)-m}Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}}=\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])},$$

*where the right hand side is the 3-point genus-zero orbifold GW invariants of* $\mathrm{Sym}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$.

5.2. $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{A}_n)$**.** As mentioned before, the orbifold cohomology of $\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n)$ are parameterized by $H^*(\mathcal{A}_n)$-weighted partitions of $m$. An insertion of partition $\overline{\lambda}$ at a marked point $x\in\mathcal{C}$ indicates that the evaluation map lands into the twisted sector associated with $\lambda$. The age of such a component is $m-l(\lambda)$. Hence $H^2(\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n))$ consists exactly of the following as a basis,

$$(2,1)(1,1)^{m-2},\quad (1,\omega_i)(1,1)^{m-1},\quad 1\le i\le n,$$

where $\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_n\in H^2(\mathcal{A}_n,\mathbb{Q})$ is the dual basis to the exceptional curves in $\mathcal{A}_n$.

The 3-point function of this theory has been computed by Cheong–Gholampour [9]. By definition the $r$-point function is

$$\langle\vec{\mu}^1,\cdots,\vec{\mu}^r\rangle_{\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n),(b,\beta)}:=\int_{\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n),(b,\beta))\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\mathrm{ev}_i^*\vec{\mu}^i,$$

$$\langle\vec{\mu}^1,\cdots,\vec{\mu}^r\rangle_{\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n)}:=\sum_{b,\beta}z^b s_1^{(\beta,\omega_1)}\cdots s_n^{(\beta,\omega_n)}\langle\vec{\mu}^1,\cdots,\vec{\mu}^r\rangle_{\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n),(b,\beta)},$$

where the integer $b>0$ here stands for the number of *unordered* extra marked points with monodromies $(2,1)(1,1)^{m-2}$.

**Theorem 5.3** (GW/Sym correspondence for $\mathcal{A}_n$, Theorem 0.3 in [9])**.** *Given $\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$, with*

$$\vec{\rho}=(1,1)^m,\quad (2,1)(1,1)^{m-2},\quad \text{or}\quad (1,\omega_k)(1,1)^{m-1},$$

*we have*

$$z^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)+l(\rho)-m}Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}}=\langle\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}\rangle_{\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n)},$$

*where the right hand side is the 3-point genus-zero orbifold GW invariants of* $\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{A}_n)$.

## 6. GW/DT/Hilb/Sym correspondence

6.1. **Relative DT theory and GW/DT correspondence of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$.** Recall the setting of Section 3.1. Let $\mathcal{X}=[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$ be the target and $z_1,\cdots,z_r$ be $r$ points on $\mathcal{Y}=B\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\times\mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the DT theory for $\mathcal{X}$, relative to the fibers $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\{z_i\}$, $i=1,\cdots,r$. For the detailed definition of relative DT theory we refer the readers to [23, 22]. The moduli space of relative DT theory is the relative Hilbert stack

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{m,\varepsilon}(\mathcal{X}[k],\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r),$$

parameterizing 1-dimensional compactly supported closed substacks $\mathcal{Z}$ in the modified "bubbled" target $\mathcal{X}[k]$, for all $k\geq 0$. The stacky curves $\mathcal{Z}$ are required to satisfy some transversality and stability conditions.

Here $m\geq 0$ is an integer, and $\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_0,\cdots,\varepsilon_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. The pair $(m,\varepsilon)$ indicates the topological datum

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}]=m[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{reg}}]+\sum_{j=0}^{n}\varepsilon_j[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{pt}}\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\zeta^j}]\in K(\mathcal{X}),$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\zeta^j}$ denotes the 1-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-representation with weight $j$, and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ denotes the regular representation.

$\overline{\mu}^i$'s are relative insertions specifying restrictions of $\mathcal{Z}$ to boundary divisors, living in the Fock space

$$\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}:=H^*(\mathrm{Hilb}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]))\cong H^*(\mathrm{Hilb}^m(\mathcal{A}_n))=\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}.$$

The relative DT invariants are defined via $T$-localization with respect to the 2-dimensional torus $T$ acting on the fiber. One can form the generating function

$$\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_m:=\sum_{\varepsilon\geq 0}q_0^{\varepsilon_0}\cdots q_n^{\varepsilon_n}\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_{m,\varepsilon},$$

and the corresponding *reduced* DT $r$-point function

$$Z'_{\mathrm{DT}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r}:=\frac{\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_m}{\langle\overline{\mu}^1,\cdots,\overline{\mu}^r\rangle_0},$$

where we omit the number $m$ which is always fixed and implicit in the formula.

In [22] the following theorem is proved, indicating a close connection between relative reduced DT theory of $\mathcal{X}$ and the quantum cohomology of $\mathrm{Hilb}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$.

**Theorem 6.1** (DT/Hilb correspondence, Theorem 1.1 of [22]). *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\in\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho}=(1,0)^m,\quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2},\quad \text{or}\quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*we have*

$$Z'_{\mathrm{DT}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}}=\langle\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}\rangle_{\mathrm{Hilb}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])},$$

*where the right hand side is the 3-point genus-zero GW invariants of* $\mathrm{Hilb}^m([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$.

In this DT/Hilb correspondence, there is no change of variables or analytic continuation. The Fock spaces on both sides are the same, and the parameters $q$ are identical. Using this result, one can prove a crepant resolution correspondence between the relative DT theories of $\mathcal{X}=[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1$.

Recall that there is an explicit isomorphism between cohomology rings

$$H^*_{\mathrm{orb}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\cong H^*(\mathcal{A}_n),$$

$$e_0 \mapsto 1, \quad e_i \mapsto \frac{\zeta^{i/2}-\zeta^{-i/2}}{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\zeta^{ij}\omega_j, \quad 1\le i\le n,$$

where $\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_n \in H^2(\mathcal{A}_n,\mathbb{Q})$ is the dual basis to the exceptional curves in $\mathcal{A}_n$. Under this isomorphism, we can explicitly identify the Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$.

For a curve $Z \subset \mathcal{A}_n \times \mathbb{P}^1$, its topological data is specified by the pair $(\chi,(\beta,m))$, where $\chi = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in H_2(\mathcal{A}_n,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $m[\mathbb{P}^1]+\beta = [Z] \in H_2(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1,\mathbb{Z})$. The generating function for the relative DT theory of $\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1$ is defined in [15] as

$$Z_{\mathrm{DT}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu}^1,\cdots,\vec{\mu}^r} := \sum_{\chi,\beta} Q^{\chi} s_1^{(\beta,\omega_1)}\cdots s_n^{(\beta,\omega_n)}\langle\vec{\mu}^1,\cdots,\vec{\mu}^r\rangle^{\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1}_{\chi,(\beta,m)},$$

and the *reduced* partition function $Z'_{\mathrm{DT}}$ is defined by quotient out the degree 0 contribution. We have the following reselt.

**Theorem 6.2** (DT crepant resolution, Theorem 1.2 in [22]). *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho} = (1,0)^m, \quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}, \quad \text{or} \quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*let $\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ be their correspondents. We have*

$$Q^{-m}Z'_{\mathrm{DT}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}} = Z'_{\mathrm{DT}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}},$$

*under the change of variables*

$$Q = q_0q_1\cdots q_n, \quad s_i = q_i, \quad i\ge 1.$$

The GW/DT correspondence for $\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1$ was proved in [15].

**Theorem 6.3** (GW/DT correspondence for $\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1$, Theorem 1.1 in [15]). *Given $\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$, with*

$$\vec{\rho} = (1,1)^m, \quad (2,1)(1,1)^{m-2}, \quad \text{or} \quad (1,\omega_k)(1,1)^{m-1},$$

*we have*

$$(-iz)^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)+l(\rho)-m}Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}} = (-Q)^{-m}Z'_{\mathrm{DT}}(\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\rho}},$$

*under the change of variables $Q=-e^{iz}$.*

Combining with Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 6.2, we obtain the following main theorem.

**Theorem 6.4** (GW/DT correspondence). *Given $\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$, with*

$$\overline{\rho} = (1,0)^m, \quad (2,0)(1,0)^{m-2}, \quad \text{or} \quad (1,k)(1,0)^{m-1},$$

*we have*

$$(-iz)^{l(\mu)+l(\nu)+l(\rho)-m}Z'_{\mathrm{GW}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}} = (-1)^mZ'_{\mathrm{DT}}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times)_{\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu},\overline{\rho}},$$

*under the change of variables*

$$Q = q_0q_1\cdots q_n = -e^{iz}, \quad q_j = \zeta\exp\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{a=1}^{n}(\zeta^{a/2}-\zeta^{-a/2})\zeta^{ja}x_a\right), \quad 1\le j\le n.$$

The formula for change of variables here is exactly the same as the GW/DT correspondence for CY local $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-gerby curves, proved in [18]. In a future work, we will see that the relative GW/DT theory for $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]\times\mathbb{P}^1$, and more generally, for nontrivial local gerby curves, is closely related to the GW/DT topological vertex in the CY case. The former is also known as the *capped* vertex.




6.2. **Equivalences of theories.** As a summary of all existing results, we obtain the following diagram, indicating relationships between various theories.

A few remarks on the equivalences:

1) Each line here means a equivalence of theories, in the sense that the 3-point functions of the two theories connected by the line are equal, provided that one insertion is the identity or a divisor. The equality here is possibly up to change of variables and analytic continuation.
2) 45° lines indicate GW/Sym and DT/Hilb correspondences. All these correspondences are *without* change of variables, and are proved via some identification of parts of the moduli's. In other words, these are the more geometric correspondences, and the holomorphic symplectic structures on $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]$ play a crucial role.
3) Horizontal lines in the front face are GW/DT correspondences. The change of variables involves exponential maps.
4) Vertical lines in the front face are crepant resolution correspondences for relative GW and DT theories.
5) Lines in the back face indicate the crepant resolution/transformation correspondence for the (partial) Hilbert–Chow morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_n)\xrightarrow{\cong}\mathrm{Hilb}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])\longrightarrow\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{A}_n)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Sym}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]),$$

where $\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and $\mathrm{Hilb}([\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}])$ are mutually symplectic flops, related by wall-crossings.

6.3. **Generation conjecture.** Consider the Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n}\cong\mathcal{F}_{[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}]}$. Let $D_0,\cdots,D_n$ be a basis of the divisors, for example, the obvious ones we have taken in previous sections. 3-point functions of each theory described above define a product structure on $\mathcal{F}$, and our previous results state that the operators $M_{D_i}$ of multiplication by divisors of each theory are equivalent.

There is a further step one can make to extend the results to general $r$-point functions. By the degeneration formula, it suffices to know all 3-point functions, with arbitrary insertions, instead of only divisors. In other words, we need to know the multiplication operator $M_\gamma$ for any class $\gamma\in\mathcal{F}$. As in [15], we make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.5.** *The joint eigenspaces for the operators $M_{D_i}$, $0\le i\le n$ are 1-dimensional for all $m>0$.*

Under this conjecture, the ring $\mathcal{F}$ can be generated by divisors $D_i$, and our results extend.

**Corollary* 6.6.** *Under the above conjecture, all theories are equivalent in the sense that all $r$-point correlation functions are equal for arbitrary $n$.*

## References


[1] D. Abramovich, B. Fantechi, *Orbifold techniques in degeneration formulas*, arXiv:1103.5132.
[2] D. Abramovich, T. Graber, A. Vistoli, *Gromov–Witten theory for Deligne–Mumford stacks*, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), no. 5, 1337–1398.
[3] A. Adem, J. Leida, Y. Ruan, *Orbifolds and Stringy Topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics (171), Cambridge University Press, 2007.
[4] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Mariño, C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Commun. Math. Phys. **254**, 425–478 (2005).
[5] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. 128, 45–88 (1997).
[6] J. Bryan, T. Graber, *The crepant resolution conjecture*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume 80.1, 2009.
[7] J. Bryan, R. Pandharipande, *The local Gromov–Witten theory of curves*, JAMS **21** (2008), 101–136.
[8] C. Cadman, *Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), 405–427.
[9] W. K. Cheong, A. Gholampour, *Orbifold Gromow–Witten theory of the symmetric product of $\mathcal{A}_r$*, Geometry & Topology **16** (2012), 475–526.
[10] K. Costello, *Higher genus Gromov–Witten invariants as genus zero invariants of symmetric products*, Annals of Mathematics, **164** (2006), 561–601.
[11] F. Janda, R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, D. Zvonkine, *Double ramification cycles on the moduli space of curves*, arXiv:1602.04705.
[12] D. Maulik, *Gromov–Witten theory of $\mathcal{A}_n$-resolutions*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), no.3, 1729–1773.
[13] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov–Witten theory and Donaldson–Thomas theory, I*, Compositio Math. 142 (2006) 1263–1285.
[14] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov–Witten theory and Donaldson–Thomas theory, II*, Compositio Math. 142 (2006) 1286–1304.
[15] D. Maulik, A. Oblomkov, *Donaldson–Thomas theory of $\mathcal{A}_n \times \mathbb{P}^1$*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no.5, 1249–1276.
[16] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *The local Donaldson–Thomas theory of curves*, Geometry & Topology, **14** (2010), 1503–1567.
[17] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, C. Vafa, *Quantum Calabi–Yau and classical crystals*, The Unity of Mathematics, Volume 244 of the series Progress in Mathematics, 597–618.
[18] D. Ross, Z. Zong, *The gerby Gopakumar–Marinõ–Vafa formula*, Geometry & Topology **17** (2013) 2935–2976.
[19] Y. Ruan, *The cohomology ring of crepant resolutions of orbifolds*, In *Gromov–Witten theory of spin curves and orbifolds*, Volume 403 of *Contemp. Math.*, 117–126. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
[20] H.-H. Tseng, *Orbifold quantum Riemann–Roch, Lefschetz and Serre*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 1, 1–81.
[21] J. Zhou, *Crepant resolution conjecture in all genera for type A singularities*, arXiv:0811.2023.
[22] Z. Zhou, *Donaldson–Thomas theory of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$*, arXiv:1510.00871.
[23] Z. Zhou, *Relative orbifold Donaldson–Thomas theory and the degeneration formula*, arXiv:1504.02303.



Zijun Zhou, Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA

*E-mail address*: zzhou@math.columbia.edu

Zhengyu Zong, Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Jin Chun Yuan West Building, Tsinghua University, Haidian District, Beijing 100084, China

*E-mail address*: zyzong@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn